



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/888,336

06/22/2001

Robert E. Dvorak

BLFR 1004-1

7227

22470 7590 03/24/2008  
HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP  
P O BOX 366  
HALF MOON BAY, CA 94019

EXAMINER

VAN DOREN, BETH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3623

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/24/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/888,336	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DVORAK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BETH VAN DOREN	<b>Art Unit</b> 3623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ms. Beth Van Doren (PTO Personnel). (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Ernest Beffel (Applicant's Representative). (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 36.

Identification of prior art discussed: Garg (US 6044357).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Beffel and Ms. Van Doren discussed claim 36 in terms of what Mr. Beffel felt was the novelty of the application and what Garg lacked. Examiner suggested more clearly relating the elements of the claim to show what specifically is occurring with respect to the inventory and the budget. Examiner awaits action by applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Beth Van Doren/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required